

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed via
EFS-Web with the United States Patent and Trademark Office
on October 28, 2009

PATENT

Docket No.: 018891-004310US
Client Ref. No.: 1000-00212US

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

By: Sylva Arnold

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Peter D. Senter et al.

Application No.: 10/522,911

Filed: July 7, 2005

For: DRUG CONJUGATES AND
THEIR USE FOR TREATING CANCER,
AN AUTOIMMUNE DISEASE OR AN
INFECTIOUS DISEASE

Customer No.: 51535

Confirmation No.: 7034

Examiner: Christina Bradley

Art Unit: 1654

RESPONSE TO INTERVIEW
SUMMARY PURSUANT TO 37
C.F.R. §1.133

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Commissioner:

In response to the Interview Summary mailed October 7, 2009, Applicants submit the following.

Applicants would like to thank Examiner Bradley for her time and effort in participating in the Interview of October 1, 2009. The participants were Examiner Bradley and Mark Hopkins representing the Applicants. Pursuant to MPEP §713.04, Applicants file this Response to the Interview Summary within the one month period allowed for filing the Response. Applicants concur with the Examiner's Summary and affirm that the Interview of October 1, 2009 was for the purposes of discussing the scope of an amendment to be filed under 37 CFR 1.312 in view of the allowed subject matter.

Applicants presented an informal amendment, not for entry, in order to facilitate discussion and the informal amendment was appended to the Examiner's Summary. Agreement was reached on the amendment.

Applicants subsequently filed an Amendment After Allowance under 37 CFR § 1.312 and a Supplemental Amendment After Allowance under 37 CFR § 1.312 on October 2, 2009. In the Amendment After Allowance under 37 CFR § 1.312, the deletion of some subject matter was indicated by single brackets rather than double brackets. The Supplemental Amendment After Allowance under 37 CFR § 1.312 was filed in order to add the double bracketing. The Supplemental Amendment After Allowance reflects all of the claim amendments that were made subsequent to the Notice of Allowance. The claim amendments are in proper marked-up form. Applicants note that the substance of the Amendment After Allowance under 37 CFR § 1.312 and the Supplemental Amendment After Allowance under 37 CFR § 1.312 both filed on October 2, 2009 are the same and both Amendments were entered per the Response to Rule 312 Communication mailed October 13, 2009. Applicants thank Examiner Bradley for confirming in the teleconference of October 14, 2009 that both of the Amendments After Allowance filed on October 2, 2009 were entered as per the Response to Rule 312 Communication mailed October 13, 2009.

During the interview of October 1, 2009, Applicants also pointed out to the Examiner that the Patent Office had listed priority application U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/400,403 as a "foreign" application rather than a domestic priority document and Examiner Bradley indicated that she would correct the continuity data listed on PUBLIC PAIR. Applicants thank Examiner Bradley for correcting the continuity data.

Applicants thanked Examiner Bradley for her time and effort.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark H. Hopkins, Ph.D.
Reg. No. 44,775

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3834
Tel: (925) 472-5000
Fax: (415) 576-0300
M3H

62285038 v1